

Notes of a meeting of the AAE Board and Committee Chairs
24 November 2022 | 08:15 – 15:30 CEST | Brussels, Belgium

Participants:

Lutz Wilhelmy	LW	Chairperson
Giampaolo Crenca	GC	Vice-chairperson
Mária Kamenárová	MKam	Immediate Past Chairperson
Philippe Demol	PD	Board member
Inga Helmane	IH	Board member
Matthias Pillaudin	MP	Board member
Kartina Thomson	KT	Board member
Frank Schiller	FS	Board member
Malcolm Kemp	MKe	Chairperson RMC (joined until 14:00)
Christophe Heck	CH	Chairperson ProfC
Lauri Saraste	LS	Chairperson IC
Tatiana Bitunska	TB	Chairperson PC
Henning Wergen	HW	Chairperson EC
Siegbert Baldauf	SB	SII Project Manager
Monique Schuilenburg	MSch	Operations Manager

Business agenda, part 1

1.	Opening of the meeting and adoption of the agenda Lutz welcomed everyone to the meeting with a special welcome to Frank and Kartina as new Board members. The agenda was adopted.
2.	Notes of the Board meeting of 26 October 2022 The notes of the meeting held on 26 October 2022 were approved with the addition (remark Mária) that a task to work on the one-pager SII-climate is missing in the list of actions.
3.	ECA 2024 and EAD 2023 What is the issue: To decide on the host for ECA 2024 (Mária) To decide on the proposal for EAD 2023 (Henning) ECA 2024: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mária explained the process using the slides. • Matthias and Henning added that the choice between Poland and Italy is also a choice of diversity in region. Poland is perceived to be a less expensive country though also sponsors may be limited as well. • The current geopolitical situation in Poland is not favorable. • If we decide to go for Italy, we can explain to Poland that Italy also applied 2 years ago, which should give a good signal.

	<p>The vote:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstention: 1 • 0 votes for Poland • 7 Votes for Italy (Philippe noted to ask Italy to find a venue with less expensive hotels) <p>The Board agreed to organize the ECA 2024 in Italy.</p> <p>EAD2023:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Henning explained the slides and asked the Board to take 3 decisions: Budget proposals V1 or V2, limit for sponsors and date. • Henning explained that the difference in production level can be found in the more professional approach. • EUR 7,50 is to set up a higher level registration for a free event as opposed to basic registration form. And easier for people to get CPD proof in the advanced registration. • Difference for higher production level: compare Convention A session 20 September versus committee sessions Convention A on 22 September. • Malcolm added that better quality might give participants a better experience and can be considered as good marketing of the AAE. • Giampaolo added that a professional event would give higher profile to the AAE. <p>The Board voted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date: all are in favor to organize this event in June. • Sponsorship: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Limit sponsors: in favor 1 Maria asked why we are using sponsors; we are using this money to cover the costs. We could take the investment financially. ○ Not limit sponsors: 6 ○ Abstaining: 1 • Level of production: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ V2: 6 in favor ○ V1: 2 in favor ○ Conclusion: The Board approved V2 as level of production. • The Working Group to start preparation in December.
--	---

Strategic agenda

4.	<p>Introduction to the strategic part of the agenda</p> <p>What is the issue: To introduce the format and the strategic topics. Lutz introduced the format and suggested one change to the groups for the breakout sessions: Malcolm to switch with Kartina in the sessions at topic 7.</p>
----	---

5.	<p>Strategic topics – plenary 1</p> <p>Topics:</p> <p>1. AAE Spring Meetings – virtual from spring 2024? For decision: Should we consider virtual spring meetings from 2024?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lutz asked whether to consider spring meetings as a separate event on its own or take a broader picture • Matthias said that he thought that the work of the AAE can be most efficient is to have it physically. However, for those not that committed to the AAE the threshold to attend virtually is less. • Tatiana reflected on Bulgaria to be the host of a Spring Meetings. It is difficult for small associations, but still the best way to meet people. • Frank shared that the output is one key factor for him to attend meetings. Link ECA and Committee work to convince new volunteers by presenting results. • Lutz shared that it is good to meet, but the question is how often. In ECA years we meet 2 times (Annual meeting and ECA, but with a different audience). In an ECA year we could organise the Spring Meetings in a virtual way. In the non-ECA years we could have physical spring meetings (but arrange for hybrid meetings, and consider splitting venues). • Malcolm mentioned that in the last meeting we agreed to not being much in favour of hybrid meetings but only to arrange for online presentations. • Lutz admitted that this is contradictory to earlier decisions. • Christophe reflected that this discussion also took place in ProfC in Rome, mainly young female who cannot travel because of their home situation. • Lauri added that on micro level WG Chairs/members are usually not attending, while the work is important. Online attendance could be the solution. • Christophe proposed to add this task to the work of the new TF on widening member engagement. This will be considered. • Henning is in favor of 2 physical meetings per year. In 2/3 years' time we have a new set up for people to join online/hybrid. There is a significant benefit of meeting physically. • Siegbert added that you can have more response and feedback in physical meetings. • Malcolm sees the benefit of meeting in person (e.g. SII WG meetings), though these should not necessarily take place at the Spring Meetings. • Inga suggested to consider spring 2024 a transition year. We have the budget to reimburse expenses for WG members to join. • Kartina: has it been considered to combine Spring Meetings and ECA? • Maria responded that it sounds efficient from a time efficiency point of view but from an organisational point of view we lack capacity. <p>Vote Board members, not decisive but to get a feel of the opinions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spring meetings from 2024 only virtual: 0 • Virtual spring meetings from 2024 in ECA years, physical else : 5 • Only physical meetings: 3
----	--

	<p>2. Brussels Office situation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Seniors discussed the situation with the IABE. For the IABE it is an option to go to a more flexible environment. • We aim to move forward together with IABE to lease and the AAE to sublease as we are doing now. • It is clear that we need a postal address and a plate on the door. We do not need office space, but potentially need archive space. Meeting rooms that are well serviced would be a plus. • Matthias asked about the size of storage needed. Pictures were shown of the current archive, and it is concluded that we can reduce to potential two cupboards. • Lutz added that Frank Dausy offered to assist in scanning/sorting the potential historic material. And we are considering asking Karel Goossens to assist.
6.	<p>Strategic topics 1 – breakout sessions</p> <p>1. Effective support:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. central / horizontal functions secretariat, stakeholder meeting support b. Specific / vertical support: committees, specific topics <p>Feedback presented by Matthias on behalf of his group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The AAE needs to increase the services provided. It cannot be based only on volunteers • The AAE needs to guarantee a certain level of support on actuarial areas such as Solvency II • Communication: the AAE could benefit from the expertise of a professional (social media, high level / political communication) • The committees could benefit from horizontal support for production work: PMO • Communication / PMO - > non-actuary • Expertise -> actuary <p>Comments on the feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Malcolm commented to thank those who currently support the work for the committees. He agreed that the remarks in the slides are useful in terms of resources. • Lauri commented that communication is a key issue, and it would certainly help our members. • Inga added that it is really a good idea that it is stronger that we need to increase content experts in other areas than SII. We will not survive without external experts. She supported the distinction of types: actuarial knowledge, but also communication/project management (PMO). • Giampaolo agreed that the message is clear, we must invest if we want to move further in human resource in the various areas. • Siegbert added that we could go directly to our MAs and offer our support directly and not only in the GA.

2. (Chartered / Certified) European Actuary:

Feedback presented by Maria:

- The discussion was mainly focussed on the 'Why'. How do we convince the MAs that this is a brand they can support and what is in it for them. Trademark owner would be AAE.
- Key issue would be to have excellent communication and engagement.

Comments on the feedback:

- Frank asked about this trademark. What is the product of the AAE where you link this trademark to? Maria answered: governance compliance. Frank responded that it is not very tangible.
- Malcolm had a similar point. The product/brand would need to be distinguishing from other brands and not to be too generic.
- Henning was in the discussion group and remarked that they had an intense discussion in the group. He mentioned that he sees the benefits and noted that we do have a product, which is the MRA. In the next step we should write down the benefits, how do we approach it and work out why we want to do this, to raise the level of awareness for our MAs to be eligible for this.
- Kartina noted that the bulk of the work is creating engagement. To clearly explain why we are doing this and why is it a good reason to support.
- Giampaolo added that they had a good discussion. Probably the question is the good communication. He agreed with Henning to investigate well and discuss with the Presidents in the next Presidents' Meeting.

3. Widening Member Engagement:

Feedback shared with Christophe:

- We have the AAE strategy and agreed to deliver on the strategy. Currently most engagement comes from our delegates.
- The goal would be to attract people to work on the strategic topics. How do we attract. We need experts to be involved. And we need a project manager. Trade-off would be to find experts and juniors.
- The main question was to define what delegate we are looking for and for which tasks and how to make sure that we have delegates who are engaged. Furthermore, in terms of getting a view on how to get engagement, we thought that a survey would give a biased view given that usually senior or secretariat are answering the survey. Therefore, the idea is to make use of the Board to liaise with FMAs during general assemblies, for example, or also send a survey to the young actuaries' sections. In addition, the topic can be discussed with the Presidents.
- It was noted to include a reference to the exchange in the ProfC and the AAE Board risk register.
- Christophe agreed to liaise with Ramona to update the ToR and copy in Monique to finalise these notes.

Comments:

- Matthias: we do not lack delegates, but for some topics we lack committed delegates. The issue is not to find new delegates but committed delegates.
- Kartina agreed and noted that committee delegates sometimes lack specific technical skills.
- Malcolm asked to keep an eye on the long term when it comes to specific knowledge/skills.

7.	<p>Strategic topics 2 – breakout sessions</p> <p>1. Demonstrable capabilities (Lutz /Christophe /Henning)</p> <p>Feedback from the group presented by Lutz:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These are additional things that are over and above the AAE Core Syllabus. • Should we also include soft skills (e.g., communication, board position capabilities). • AAE would facilitate recognition of capabilities in Europe. We would do that in cooperation with local institutions/associations. • Whatever these qualifications might be, the most important point is that they would be accepted throughout Europe. They could be used , e.g, in a Fit and Proper assessment. • How is a capability demonstrated? We need to gain more clarity on this • We should give the rationale, why AAE is dealing with? • If there is a gap for actuaries in Europe, we might offer to facilitate but our role must be clear. • Malcolm added that we may want to consider competition of education providers and associations. • Henning added that there was consensus that it is a topic for the AAE to support MAs and their members. And additional education/credentials. How far do we want to go and how far can we go, that would be the next step that needs to be further defined (possible/impossible, etc.) <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maria asked if we want to share additional education in countries with other countries. • Lutz answered that we want to enable actuaries to use their capabilities throughout Europe. • Maria said that AAE does not provide education. We should not build a balcony if the basement is not in place. • Henning answered that we support MAs and their members to be professional individuals. • Lutz added that in such a framework we can address both the construction (build basements and balcony's). • Matthias agreed with Malcolm: do not enter into competition with our MAs in providing such a training/programme. We could think about this, but we should find a way for the AAE not to compete with the MAs. We could think of a partnership with EAA, or we should think of another solution. • Lutz: The principle of subsidiarity would always apply. <p>2. Pensions and possible impact:</p> <p>Feedback as shared by Tatiana:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tatiana reflected on the discussion that essentially focused on the Fit and Proper elements in the slides. <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IORP II timeline. EIOPA has to answer before end of June 2023. We need to be ready to say something in the next year. • Giampaolo supported the enlargement of the 1st Pillar. This also opens the view towards another topic for a future possible exploration/discussion, that is "the activity and the role of actuary in the public sector" • Tatiana added that the SSSC wishes to organise a 'large format webinar' which involves social security experts as a Forum. To be organised in June or in autumn of 2023.
----	---

	<p>3. Diversity, inclusion, and non-discrimination in insurance: social fairness and explainability</p> <p>Feedback as shared by Frank can be found in attachment 7.3 – Summary.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Malcolm asked of how these 3 compared with the previous 3 topics before the break. The previous 3 are more tangible, it was clearer how they link to the AAE’s overall goals. These 3 sounded more link work in progress and perhaps for WGs to move forward. • Lutz answered that these topics are coming up and are not going away. They are certainly less clear, but we are already working on the last topic (3). It would be nice to get it more into our normal framework. • Malcolm added that with topics like this it will be harder to get more unanimity. There might be more work needed on assessing endorsement. • Lutz agreed. We probably need to elaborate more bevor we can decide. • We may need position papers, and we would need support from the MAs.
<p>8.</p>	<p>Strategic topics – plenary 2</p> <p>Topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Presidents’ Meeting: date, format, brainstorming on potential topics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirmed date: 16 February 2023. • Giampaolo stressed the importance of the Presidents Meeting. • Giampaolo proposed to discuss the following topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Scenario/future of our activities in the AAE; Staffing/resource (finance) strategic organisation ○ European Actuary brand/trademark ○ Widening member engagement ○ Fit and Proper • A draft agenda will be shared in the next Board meeting. 2. Wider fields: supplementary private health funds and governance roles (Giampaolo) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Giampaolo explained the slides and stressed the important to further develop of SPHF in the Insurance Committee. • Lauri remarked that this is indeed discussed in the IC and will continue to be further included in the discussions. • Giampaolo added that with the assistance of the ProfC he recommended to support the exploration of Governance Roles. Christophe added that this is interesting and looks like work for the Fit and Proper TF. He would recommend working on both in parallel to get this forward. • Malcolm asked to understand the scale of these wider fields topics. • Tatiana asked re SSSC role, where it is worth to include these aspects in the discussion.

Business agenda, part 2

9.	<p>Urgent Committee issues</p> <p>What is the issue: To receive an update on committee and board WG issues <u>that require the Board's immediate attention.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Professionalism: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Update Compliance Review – every MA is now compliant. b. Maria asked about the 8 recommendations shared with the Presidents regarding the platform of knowledge sharing about legal roles of the actuary. Maria stressed that nothing is done here. Lutz believed we received no request. We will check our notes and follow up. c. Fit and Proper paper Christophe further explained the slides. Maria commented that Fit and Proper comes from the SII Directive a.o. (CH commented it is from 7 Directives). In SII the requirement is that the undertakings are fit and proper. Lutz commented that for any concrete role under the directives all requirements are different. There are many questions that we do not have time for at the moment. A Doodle will be sent to include Maria, Christophe, Lutz, Kartina. The decision on the paper will be postponed until after this call. d. EAN IFRS17 is being finalized and challenging to publish before year end. In worst case it will be January. 2. Risk Management: Malcolm provided an overview of the tasks of RMC using the slides. Comments included: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Maria asked about an update of the one-pagers prepared by the RMC. Currently the one-pager on IRRD will be looked at as well as the sustainability position. Malcolm and the SCrR will check if an update is needed. b. Kartina asked about the new WG proposed by Loudina Erasmus. c. Lutz asked if this would be a topic that is of interest to our stakeholders. More clarity is needed on the actuarial involvement. d. Kartina asked if this could be one area that we can include in the actuarial capabilities. e. Matthias stressed that we should encourage engagement of volunteers in any good idea. We could work first and consider delivery (papers, etc.) later. 3. Insurance: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lauri reported that the IC webinar was held on 23 November. Interesting attendance and discussions. b. Lauri added that he attended an EC workshop on ELD. He shared the AAE's ELD paper with the relevant EC delegate, which was appreciated. c. Value for money paper is published but only the ISRG stakeholder group will respond. d. Idea to turn the current LIR WG – Matthias noted that the IR WG/common transverse support could have difficulties to find common objectives. Lauri agreed. Matthias added that if we make it transverse the 'delivery/results' may be worse than it is now. Maria agreed with Matthias. Since the start of this WG it is difficult to channel and focus the work areas. e. Malcolm noted the ppt on Inflation from Sam from this WG. f. Lutz asked to receive an update on the SII position to prepare for the EIOPA meeting. Moreover, to check any other one pagers that need updating.
----	--

	<p>g. Lutz noted that the Press Release of the ELD paper can be transformed into a one-pager.</p> <p>4. Pensions:</p> <p>a. Tatiana provided the pre-read. Outlining that the IORP Survey prepared by the new WG is working on implementing the comments from the Board.</p> <p>b. The updated ToR SSSC working groups are now presented for SSSC/PC approval and can be approved by the Board in the December meeting.</p> <p>c. Maria asked if there are any one-pagers related to pensions that need updating. Tatiana will check and report in the December meeting.</p> <p>d. EIOPA expects to publish the Stress Test results in December. PC can prepare a one-pager with the AAEs view.</p> <p>5. Education:</p> <p>a. Update Assessment Core Syllabus. Currently the list of non-responding MAs has reduced to Luxembourg, Cyprus and Turkey. Liaisons are requested to contact them in the next days. As to the assessments received no issues foreseen. Smaller topics on a mid-term working plan are being reviewed.</p> <p>6. Artificial intelligence and data science working group:</p> <p>a. DS Challenge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lutz explained the proposal and asked for comments. • Malcolm asked what is the objective of the AAE if it is decided to reward a prize. • Such a prize money brings a diverse group in the AI community to consider the question. It could also attract the DS and AI community. That is the reason to spread widely. • Henning noted that this is a complete change from where the AAE is coming from. • Matthias noted that from a treasurer point of view for prize money 60K is quite expensive. He noted that 50K is in the budget for strategic initiatives. In addition, should we consider restricting the audience to Europe? Or go worldwide? • Lutz's comment: This is way too expensive. If we go for that we should have sponsors and the independence can be tackled in a different way. • Philippe – would prefer to allocate such money to other strategic objectives rather than only one project. • It was agreed that Lutz will take it back to the AI-DS WG to clarify the criteria.
10.	<p>Update on important business topics</p> <p>What is the issue?</p> <p>1. Update from Advisory panel, if any (Lutz)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The revised ToR were unanimously approved. • Maria remarked that the NP has been asked who is selecting the members of the AP. AP members are chosen based on their ability to support the relation to the EU institutions. The AP has no decision making power in the AAE.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings with stakeholders (Lutz) Lutz informed the Board that the next meeting with EIOPA is on 20 January 2023. We value all input to the list. <p>2. Update from Communications Panel (Inga)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal on reducing the number of issues of the Board report to Mas from 4 to 2 was unanimously approved. Matthias asked why not once per year? Inga reported that we can do that, but we may the information may be too outdated. • Staffing CommP (and TEA Editorial Board) The proposal to add Kartina and Frank (part-time involvement in 2023) was approved. Giampaolo is sad that he needs to leave CommP in a year's time. Kartina was approved as member of the AAE Editorial Board. Giampaolo shared that he was happy to remain. • Potential communication activities for Y2023 with expense component. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inga explained the slides. Relatively small investments are needed to further professionalise the areas mentioned. With social media, we mean blogs. We would recommend investing in a specialist to produce blogs to attract more attention and to spread our message. Speed up professionally what we want to say. • Does the Board approve the relatively small amount for the areas mentioned (max. 15,000 EURO). • Malcolm mentioned that re going for external PR we spoke about human resources this morning. We should connect these discussions. • Philippe asked about expert knowledge to 'translate' the technical document to be available in easy understandable language. Inga added that this was also discussed in CommP. We have a solution: this is considered internal documentation/materials. In this process a review of the 'translation' would be included to verify the content. • Maria asked about the PR expert for Press and Public Relationship. It was noted that this needs further discussion and clarification. • The Board approved spending on the 3 blue boxes unanimously. The Board asked to prepare more information for one of the next Board meetings. <p>3. Update from the Nominations Panel (Maria) Maria informed the Board that Philip Shier was presented for electronic vote as NP member. For the position of Board member, there are eligible candidates, but not enough time to prepare and vote. The NP needs more time.</p> <p>4. Consultations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to assign reviewers to outstanding consultations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation on "Call for Evidence on greenwashing". Reviewers: Matthias and Philippe. • New consultation 'Discussion paper on methodological principles of insurance stress testing cyber component'. Reviewers: Frank and Maria. • Board reviewers are to be involved early in the process. In case of delicate questions, they should know early on. The Board reviewers need to connect with the WG early.
--	---

	5. Governance process one-pagers/positions/discussion papers This item has been postponed
11.	Update on email security, esp. theft of identity Issue: Some email providers do not ensure integrity of emails sent or received. This is especially the case for public providers that do not control their accounts. Consider switching to a safe environment, e.g., our actuary.eu accounts Update: This topic was not discussed due to time constraints.
12.	Report AAE Secretariat What is the issue: To receive a brief report on ongoing matters Update: This topic was not discussed due to time constraints.
13.	Topics for agenda next Board meetings What is the issue: To identify the topics for the agenda of the next Board meeting Proposed topics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Decision on priorities 2023 2. Preparation Presidents' Meeting 3. Preparation EIOPA meeting 4. Matthias asked to spend more time on 'external' contacts and to consider mapping the one-pagers and responses to consultations. 5. Review of WG/TFs
13.	Any other business No topics were raised.

Appendix

	Future meetings / conference calls: 13:00 – 15:30 CE(S)T on the second Wednesday of every month unless shown otherwise
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14 December 2022 • 18 January 2023 • 8 February 2023 • 8 March 2023 • 19 April 2023 – Bratislava, 14:00-17:00 • 17 May 2023 • Thu 15 June 2023 – Zurich, 09:00-16:00 • 5 July 2023 • 13 September 2023 • 4 October 2023 – Cologne, 14:00-17:00

SUMMARY OF ACTIONS/DECISIONS:

ECA 2024	The Board agreed to organize the ECA 2024 in Italy.	
EAD 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date: June 2023 • Sponsorship: The majority voted to not limit the number of sponsors • Level of production: the Board approved V2 as level of production. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Working Group to start preparation in December. 	
Spring meetings partly virtual?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Board did not reach a final decision. Decide on the 2024 format 	
Strategic topics – breakout sessions	<p>Comments to be considered.</p> <p>European Actuary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was agreed to consult the Presidents in the next Presidents Meeting <p>Widening Member Engagement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Christophe agreed to contact Ramona Dolan to update the ToR based on the discussion 	Christophe
	<p>Demonstrable capabilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was agreed that the next step needs to be further defined (possible/impossible, etc.) 	
Presidents' Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirmed date: 16 February 2023. Proposed topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scenario/future of our activities in the AAE; Staffing/resource (finance) strategic organisation European Actuary brand/trademark Widening member engagement Fit and Proper A draft agenda will be shared in the next Board meeting. 	
Wider Fields	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IC will continue to be further discuss SPHF. Christophe agreed to work in parallel in ProfC and Fit and Proper to support the exploration of Governance Roles. 	Lauri Christophe
Professionalism Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maria asked about the 8 recommendations shared with the Presidents regarding the platform of knowledge sharing about legal roles of the actuary. Maria stressed that nothing is done here. Lutz believed we received no request. We will check our notes and follow up. Fit and Proper: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Doodle will be sent to include Maria, Christophe, Lutz, Kartina. The decision on the paper will be postponed until after this call. Wait for the EAN on IFRS 17 to close the WG 	Monique, Lutz Monique Lutz
Risk Management Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maria asked about an update of the one-pagers prepared by the RMC. Current one pager on IRRD will be looked at as well as the sustainability position. Malcolm and the SCR will check if an update is needed. 	Malcolm
Insurance Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lutz asked to receive an update on the SII position to prepare for the EIOPA meeting. Moreover to check any other one pagers that need updating. 	Lauri

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lutz noted that the Press Release of the ELD paper can be transformed into a one-pager. 	
Pensions Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maria asked if there are any one-pagers related to pensions that need updating. Tatiana will check and report in the December meeting. EIOPA expects to publish the Stress Test results in December. PC can prepare a one-pager with the AAEs view. 	Tatiana Tatiana
Education Committee	<p>Update Assessment Core Syllabus.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently the list of non-responding MAs has reduced to Luxembourg, Cyprus and Turkey. Liaisons are requested to contact them in the next days. 	Giampaolo (Cyprus), Lutz (Luxembourg), Philippe (Turkey)
AI-DS WG	<p>DS Challenge:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was agreed that Lutz will take it back to the AI-DS WG to clarify the criteria, based on the discussion. 	Lutz
Advisory Panel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The revised ToR were unanimously approved. 	
Meetings with Stakeholder	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forward potential topics 	all
Communications Panel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal on reducing the number of issues of the Board report to Mas from 4 to 2 was unanimously approved. Staffing CommP (and TEA Editorial Board) The proposal to add Kartina and Frank (part-time involvement in 2023) was approved. Kartina was approved as member of the AAE Editorial Board. Potential communication activities for Y2023 with expense component. The Board approved spending max EUR 15,000 on the 3 blue boxes unanimously. The Board asked to prepare more information about the PR expert for one of the next Board meetings. 	CommP
Consultations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consultation on “Call for Evidence on greenwashing”. Reviewers: Matthias and Philippe. New consultation ‘Discussion paper on methodological principles of insurance stress testing cyber component. Reviewers: Frank and Maria. Board reviewers are to be involved early in the process. In case of delicate questions, they should know early on. The Board reviewers need to connect with the WG early. 	Matthias, Philippe Frank, Maria